### Phase transitions in string nets

#### Julien Vidal

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Paris

Collaboration: S. Dusuel, G. Misguich, K. P. Schmidt, and M. D. Schulz

2 String nets with tension

### 3 Bound states



2 String nets with tension

### 3 Bound states



#### Topological quantum order in condensed matter in three dates

- 1989: High-  $T_{\rm c}$  superconductors, FQHE (X.-G. Wen, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee)
- 1997: Fault-tolerant quantum computation (A. Kitaev, J. Preskill)
- 2005: String-net condensation (M. Levin and X.-G. Wen)



#### Topological quantum order in condensed matter in three dates

- 1989: High-  $T_{\rm c}$  superconductors, FQHE (X.-G. Wen, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee)
- 1997: Fault-tolerant quantum computation (A. Kitaev, J. Preskill)
- 2005: String-net condensation (M. Levin and X.-G. Wen)

#### Main features of topologically ordered systems

2D gapped quantum systems at T = 0 with:

- Ground-state degeneracy depends on the system topology
- Anyonic excitations
- Long-range entanglement
- Robustness against local perturbations

S. Bravyi, M. B. Hastings, and S. Michalakis, J. Math. Phys. 51, 093512 (2010)

#### However, as early noticed...

"Of course, the perturbation should be small enough, or else a phase transition may occur." A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003)

#### However, as early noticed...

"Of course, the perturbation should be small enough, or else a phase transition may occur." A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003)

#### Condensed-matter issues

- Nature of phase transitions
- New universality classes
- Low-energy excitations

#### However, as early noticed...

"Of course, the perturbation should be small enough, or else a phase transition may occur." A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003)

#### Condensed-matter issues

- Nature of phase transitions
- New universality classes
- Low-energy excitations

#### Strategy

- Start from a topological (deconfined) phase
- 2 Add a perturbation (string tension)  $\rightarrow$  Confined phase
- Ompute the low-energy spectrum

### 2 String nets with tension

### 3 Bound states



#### Basics

- String nets = Networks of strings
- "...topological phases originate from string-net condensation."

M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 71, 045110 (2005)

• Vacuum of a topological phase = String-net condensate + Local constraints

"Dancing patterns"

#### Basics

- String nets = Networks of strings
- "...topological phases originate from string-net condensation."

M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 71, 045110 (2005)

• Vacuum of a topological phase = String-net condensate + Local constraints

"Dancing patterns"

Example: the ground state of the toric code



Equal-weight superposition of all possible "loop" configurations

#### Basics

- String nets = Networks of strings
- "...topological phases originate from string-net condensation."

```
M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 71, 045110 (2005)
```

 $\bullet~$  Vacuum of a topological phase = String-net condensate + Local constraints

"Dancing patterns"

#### String-net model: input data

- Degrees of freedom are strings defined on links of a graph
- Strings are anyons (a.k.a. labels, charges, superselection sectors, particles...)
- Anyons obey fusion rules:  $a \times b = \sum_{c} N_{c}^{ab} c$
- S-matrix, F-symbols, R-symbols (Unitary Modular Tensor Category)

#### Hilbert space and string-net Hamiltonian

- Hilbert space: set of configurations respecting fusion rules at each vertex
- Hamiltonian defined on a trivalent graph (e.g., honeycomb lattice or ladder)

$$H_{SN} = -\sum_{p} B_{p} - \sum_{v} A_{v}$$

• B<sub>p</sub>: projector onto the flux-free state in plaquette p

$$B_{p} \xrightarrow{f \to a}_{s \to b} f = \sum_{s} \frac{d_{s}}{D^{2}} \sum_{s \to a'\zeta'} F_{s\alpha'\zeta'}^{a\zeta\alpha} F_{s\beta'\alpha'}^{b\alpha\beta} F_{s\gamma'\beta'}^{c\beta\gamma} F_{s\delta'\gamma'}^{d\gamma\delta} F_{s\epsilon'\delta'}^{e\delta\epsilon} F_{s\zeta'\epsilon'}^{f\epsilon\zeta}$$

- *d<sub>s</sub>*: quantum dimension of the string *s*
- $D = \sqrt{\sum_{s} d_{s}^{2}}$ : total quantum dimension
- Remark:  $\frac{d_s}{D^2} = S_{11}S_{1s}$  but nontrivial Frobenius-Schur indicator matters !

M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 71, 045110 (2005)

- Ground state:  $B_p |\psi_0
  angle = + |\psi_0
  angle o$  No flux in plaquette p
- Excited states:  $B_p |\psi_{\text{exc.}}\rangle = 0 \rightarrow \text{Non-trivial flux in plaquette } p$ F. J. Burnell and S. H. Simon, Ann. Phys. **325**, 2550 (2010); S. H. Simon and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A **46**, 105002 (2013)
- Excited states are closed flux lines piercing plaquettes
- Doubled achiral topological deconfined phase

#### The flux picture

- Ground state:  $B_p |\psi_0
  angle = +|\psi_0
  angle o$  No flux in plaquette p
- Excited states:  $B_p |\psi_{\text{exc.}}\rangle = 0 \rightarrow \text{Non-trivial flux in plaquette } p$ F. J. Burnell and S. H. Simon, Ann. Phys. **325**, 2550 (2010); S. H. Simon and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A **46**, 105002 (2013)
- Excited states are closed flux lines piercing plaquettes
- Doubled achiral topological deconfined phase

#### How to measure a flux ?

- Probing a flux ⇔ Insert a loop around a flux (Aharonov-Bohm effect)
- Projector onto a given flux  $\Leftrightarrow$  Combination of loop operators



- Ground state:  $B_p |\psi_0
  angle = +|\psi_0
  angle o$  No flux in plaquette p
- Excited states:  $B_p |\psi_{\text{exc.}}\rangle = 0 \rightarrow \text{Non-trivial flux in plaquette } p$ F. J. Burnell and S. H. Simon, Ann. Phys. **325**, 2550 (2010); S. H. Simon and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A **46**, 105002 (2013)
- Excited states are closed flux lines piercing plaquettes
- Doubled achiral topological deconfined phase



- Ground state:  $B_p |\psi_0
  angle = +|\psi_0
  angle o$  No flux in plaquette p
- Excited states:  $B_p |\psi_{\text{exc.}}\rangle = 0 \rightarrow \text{Non-trivial flux in plaquette } p$ F. J. Burnell and S. H. Simon, Ann. Phys. **325**, 2550 (2010); S. H. Simon and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A **46**, 105002 (2013)
- Excited states are closed flux lines piercing plaquettes
- Doubled achiral topological deconfined phase



- Ground state:  $B_p |\psi_0
  angle = +|\psi_0
  angle o$  No flux in plaquette p
- Excited states:  $B_p |\psi_{\text{exc.}}\rangle = 0 \rightarrow \text{Non-trivial flux in plaquette } p$ F. J. Burnell and S. H. Simon, Ann. Phys. **325**, 2550 (2010); S. H. Simon and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A **46**, 105002 (2013)
- Excited states are closed flux lines piercing plaquettes
- Doubled achiral topological deconfined phase



#### The simplest Abelian case: the semion theory

- Two strings:  $\{1, s\}$
- Fusion rules:  $1 \times 1 = 1$ ,  $1 \times s = s$ ,  $s \times s = 1$



Hilbert space for any graph with  $N_{\rm v}$  trivalent vertices

• Dim 
$$\mathcal{H} = 2^{\frac{N_v}{2}+1}$$



The simplest non-Abelian case: the Fibonacci theory

- Two strings:  $\{1, \tau\}$
- Fusion rules:  $1 \times 1 = 1$ ,  $1 \times \tau = \tau$ ,  $\tau \times \tau = 1 + \tau$



Hilbert space for any graph with  $N_{\rm v}$  trivalent vertices

• Dim  $\mathcal{H} = (1+\varphi^2)^{\frac{N_v}{2}} + (1+\varphi^{-2})^{\frac{N_v}{2}}$  with  $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  (golden ratio)



 $d_{ au}=arphi$  is the quantum dimension of the string au



#### A simple local perturbation $\sim$ string tension

- Projector onto the flux-free state in the links:  $V = \sum_{\ell} \delta_{\ell,1} = \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$
- Diagonal in the link basis but nontrivial in the flux basis
- $[B_p, C_\ell] \neq 0 \rightarrow V$  creates fluxes in plaquettes
- V generates dynamics and interactions between fluxes



#### A simple local perturbation $\sim$ string tension

- Projector onto the flux-free state in the links:  $V = \sum_{\ell} \delta_{\ell,1} = \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$
- Diagonal in the link basis but nontrivial in the flux basis
- $[B_p, C_\ell] \neq 0 \rightarrow V$  creates fluxes in plaquettes
- V generates dynamics and interactions between fluxes



#### A simple local perturbation $\sim$ string tension

- Projector onto the flux-free state in the links:  $V = \sum_{\ell} \delta_{\ell,1} = \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$
- Diagonal in the link basis but nontrivial in the flux basis
- $[B_p, C_\ell] \neq 0 \rightarrow V$  creates fluxes in plaquettes
- V generates dynamics and interactions between fluxes



#### The model

$$H = -\cos\theta \sum_{p} B_{p} - \sin\theta \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$$

- $B_p$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the plaquette p
- $C_{\ell}$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the link  $\ell$

#### The model

$$H = -\cos\theta \sum_{p} B_{p} - \sin\theta \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$$

- $B_p$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the plaquette p
- $C_{\ell}$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the link  $\ell$

#### The ladder

- Exact mapping onto transverse-field D<sup>2</sup>-state Potts model (1+1)
- $D \leqslant$  2: 2<sup>nd</sup>-order transitions described by CFT
  - C. Gils, S. Trebst, A. Kitaev, A. W. W. Ludwig, M. Troyer, and Z. Wang, Nat. Phys. 5, 834 (2009)
  - C. Gils, J. Stat. Mech. P07019 (2009)
  - E. Ardonne, J. Gukelberger, A. W.W. Ludwig, S. Trebst, M. Troyer, New J. Phys. 13, 045006 (2011)

#### • D > 2: 1<sup>st</sup>-order transitions

M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 91, 155110 (2015)

#### The model

$$H = -\cos\theta \sum_{p} B_{p} - \sin\theta \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$$

- $B_p$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the plaquette p
- $C_{\ell}$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the link  $\ell$

#### The honeycomb lattice

- Abelian theories ~ transverse-field D<sup>2</sup>-state Potts model (2+1)
   F. J. Burnell, S. H. Simon, J. K. Slingerland, Phys. Rev. B 84, 125434 (2011)
- Non-Abelian theories ?
- Tools: High-order perturbation theory, mean field, exact diagonalizations
  - M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, K. P. Schmidt, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 147203 (2013)
  - M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, G. Misguich, K. P. Schmidt, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 89, 201103(R) (2014)
  - S. Dusuel, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 91, 155110 (2015)

#### The model

$$H = -\cos\theta \sum_{p} B_{p} - \sin\theta \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell}$$

- $B_p$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the plaquette p
- $C_{\ell}$  = Projector onto the flux-free state in the link  $\ell$

#### Non-Abelian theories with N strings on a genus g surface

- $\theta = 0$ : No flux in the plaquette (degeneracy genus- and theory-dependent)
- $\theta = \pi/2$ : No flux in the links (degeneracy = 1)
- $\theta = \pi$ : One flux in each plaquette (degeneracy =  $\infty$ )
- $\theta = 3\pi/2$ : One flux in each link (degeneracy =  $\infty$  for N > 2)





#### Ground-state energy per plaquette vs $\theta$

ED with  $N_p = 13$  on a torus + Series near  $\theta = 0$  (order 1 to 11) + Series near  $\theta = \pi/2$  (order 1 to 20)



#### Ground-state energy per plaquette vs $\theta$

ED with  $N_p = 13$  on a torus + Series near  $\theta = 0$  (order 1 to 11) + Series near  $\theta = \pi/2$  (order 1 to 20)+ Mean field



#### Excitation spectrum vs $\theta$

ED with  $N_p = 13$  on a torus





#### Excitation spectrum $vs \theta$

ED with  $N_p = 13$  on a torus + Series near  $\theta = 0$  (order 1 to 10) + Series near  $\theta = \pi/2$  (order 1 to 11)





#### Nature of transitions still unknown !

2 String nets with tension





### Bound states

#### Bound states and quantum phase transitions

- No bound state ⇒ 2<sup>nd</sup>-order transition ? Yes !
   Ex: 2 ≤ q ≤ 3-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions
- Bound states ⇒ 1<sup>st</sup>-order transition ? No !
   Ex: 3 < q ≤ 4-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions</li>

\* P. Dorey, A. Pocklington, and R. Tateo, Nucl. Phys. B 661, 425 (2003)

 1<sup>st</sup>-order transition ⇒ Bound states ? Yes ! (in general...) Ex: 4 < q-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions</li>

### Bound states

#### Bound states and quantum phase transitions

- No bound state ⇒ 2<sup>nd</sup>-order transition ? Yes !
   Ex: 2 ≤ q ≤ 3-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions
- Bound states ⇒ 1<sup>st</sup>-order transition ? No !
   Ex: 3 < q ≤ 4-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions</li>

\*P. Dorey, A. Pocklington, and R. Tateo, Nucl. Phys. B 661, 425 (2003)

 1<sup>st</sup>-order transition ⇒ Bound states ? Yes ! (in general...) Ex: 4 < q-state Potts model in (1+1) dimensions</li>

#### Role of the string tension

- Dynamics + interaction between fluxes
- Anyonic bound states ?

M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 94, 205102 (2016)

# Two-flux excited state



### Two-flux excited state + Perturbation



## Two-flux excited states + Perturbation



Fibonacci fusion rules:  $\tau \times \tau = 1 + \tau$ 

## Two-flux excited state + Perturbation



Fibonacci fusion rules:  $\tau \times \tau = 1 + \tau$ 

### Bound states

#### Results valid for any (modular) theory

Perturbative approach in the limit  $\theta \ll 1$ :

- Ladder : 1 Bound state for  $3 < D^2$
- $\bullet$  Honeycomb: 1 Bound state for 2  $< D^2 < D_{\rm c}^2$  3 Bound states for  $D_{\rm c}^2 < D^2$
- "Critical" total quantum dimension  $D_{
  m c}\simeq 3.87145+{\cal O}( heta^2)$

M. D. Schulz, S. Dusuel, and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. B 94, 205102 (2016)



# Outlook

#### Take-home messages and perspectives

- String-nets can describe many topological phases
- Confinement-deconfinement transitions are induced by string tensions
- Tensor networks approach (perturbative PEPS)
- Transitions between compatible topological phases (same fusion rules)
- New universality classes in two dimensions to be unveiled

