Matrix Product State Description of Halperin States

V. Crépel, B. Estienne, B. A. Bernevig, P. Lecheminant and N. Regnault

École Normale Supérieure de Paris

Quy Nhon, July 2018



PRB 97, 165136 (2018) (see also arXiv:1806.06858)



Motivations

 Many FQHE experimental realizations involve an internal degree of freedom

Spin, Singlet-Triplet in QW, Graphene ...

PRB 92, 075410 (Balram et al. - 2015)

 Bilayer systems: Non-Abelian excitation at the boundary between Abelian states

Nat. Comm. 4, 1348 (Clarke et al. - 2013)



Motivations

 \blacktriangleright Quasi-electronic operators for FQH states shares some of the caveats

J. Stat. Mech. 053101 (Kjäll et al. - 2018)

► Use of conformal embedding SU(2)_k \leftarrow SU(3)_k to create interfaces between topological orders (spinful problem - see B. Estienne's talk).



k = 2: MR - NASS PRL 103, 076803 (Grosfeld and Schoutens 2009)



Halperin Wavefunctions

$$\psi_{mmn} = \prod_{i < j \le N^{\downarrow}} (z_i^{\downarrow} - z_j^{\downarrow})^m \prod_{i < j \le N^{\uparrow}} (z_i^{\uparrow} - z_j^{\uparrow})^m \prod_{i,j} (z_i^{\downarrow} - z_j^{\uparrow})^n$$

- ▶ Natural generalization of Laughlin WFs, recovered when $N^{\uparrow} = 0$
- Vanishing properties encoded in the K-matrix

$$\mathbf{K} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} m & n \\ n & m \end{array}\right)$$

PRB 46, 2290 (Wen and Zee - 1992)

- ▶ ψ_{mmn} represents the spatial WF on component $|\uparrow \cdots \uparrow \downarrow \cdots \downarrow \rangle$. Full WF $|\Psi_{mmn}\rangle$ requires (anti-)symmetrization over both spin and position.
- Can be extended to any larger number of components.

MPS Derivation 1 - CFT

The Halperin states can be written as CFT correlators. CFT matches the one describing the critical edge.

Nucl. Phys. B 360,2 (Moore and Read - 1991)

$$\psi_{mmn} = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{\rm bkg} \mathcal{W}^{\uparrow}(z_1^{\uparrow}) \cdots \mathcal{W}^{\uparrow}(z_{N^{\uparrow}}^{\uparrow}) \mathcal{W}^{\downarrow}(z_1^{\downarrow}) \cdots \mathcal{W}^{\downarrow}(z_{N^{\downarrow}}^{\downarrow}) | 0 \rangle$$



- Two-component Luttinger liquid: spin-charge separation
- ► Vertex operators (factorization of K + OPE)

$$\mathcal{W}^{\uparrow}(z) =: e^{i\sqrt{\frac{m+n}{2}}\varphi^{c}(z)+i\sqrt{\frac{m-n}{2}}\varphi^{s}(z)} :$$
$$\mathcal{W}^{\downarrow}(z) =: e^{i\sqrt{\frac{m+n}{2}}\varphi^{c}(z)-i\sqrt{\frac{m-n}{2}}\varphi^{s}(z)} :$$

Remark: Additional $SU(2)_1$ algebra on φ_s for spin singlet states (*i.e.* n = m - 1).

V. Crépel (ENS Paris)

MPS Derivation 2 - Modes and Symmetrization

 $\underbrace{ \text{Step 1:}}_{\text{an electron of spin } \sigma \text{ on orbital } n. } \mathcal{W}^{\sigma}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n W^{\sigma}_{-n} \Rightarrow W^{\sigma}_{-n} \text{ corresponds to } \\ \underset{\text{Estience et al. arXiv:1311.2936}}{\text{Step 1:}}$

<u>Step 2</u>: Correct statistics with explicit Klein factor at the CFT level $\chi = e^{i\pi}\sqrt{2(m+n)}a_0^c = (-1)^{R_ca_0^c}$. Numerically efficient!

$$A^{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})}[n] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^{\uparrow}!k^{\downarrow}!}} \left(W_{-n}^{\uparrow}\chi \right)^{k^{\uparrow}} \left(W_{-n}^{\downarrow} \right)^{k^{\downarrow}}$$

$$- \underbrace{0}_{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})} \underbrace{0}_{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})} \underbrace{0}_{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})} \begin{bmatrix} n \end{bmatrix}$$

MPS Derivation 3 - iMPS

Cylinder geometry and iMPS



PRB 86, 245305 (Zaletel and Mong - 2012)

Spread background charge equally between orbitals and reproduce geometrical factors:

$$B^{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})} = A^{(k^{\uparrow},k^{\downarrow})}[0]U$$

$$U = e^{-i\sqrt{\frac{2}{m+n}}\varphi_0^c} e^{-\left(\frac{2\pi}{L}\right)^2 L_0}$$

 L_0 is the Hamiltonian on a circle, states becomes irrelevant with increasing energy. Natural truncation of infinite matrices *wrt* the conformal dimension (as in Truncated Conformal Space Approach of RG).

Numerical Implementation and Entanglement Entropy

Another motivation for this truncation: it preserves the \underline{exact} CFT structure.



- Counting of two-component free boson 1-2-5-10-20-....
- Exact SU(2) symmetry (Ward identities)
 Even at finite truncation!
- Exact structure requires more states than usual DMRG procedure

Numerical Results: Correlation Lengths

Gapped? Yes, it may describe a Hall liquid.

Decay of any generic correlator, necessary for braiding/adiabatic arguments!



V. Crépel (ENS Paris)

Numerical Results: Topological Entanglement Entropy

A fully developed opological order? Extract the correction to the area law $S_A(L) = \alpha L - \gamma$ which characterizes the topological order.

PRL 96, 110404 (2006) ; PRL 96, 110405 (2006)



V. Crépel (ENS Paris)

Conclusion

- We derived an MPS to describe the Halperin states and all their zero energy excitations.
- Solved symmetrization issue (requirement for multicomponent continuous MPS!) and reached an infinite MPS form by spreading the background charge.
- ► Imposed a global SU(2) symmetry by a careful choice of truncation.
- Numerical characterization of the phase topological content, and evaluation of the correlation lengths.
- V. Crépel, B. Estienne, B. A. Bernevig, P. Lecheminant and N. Regnault **PRB 97, 165136 (2018)**

Perspectives

 Composite fermion WF and Quantum Hall hierarchies. Symmetrization relies on the same Klein factors, and quasi-electronic operators derived

J. Stat. Mech. 053101 (Kjäll et al. -2018)

Microscopic characterization of interfaces. Transition between equivalent K-matrices?

Cano et al., PRB 89, 115116

Emergence of Moore-Read from polarization of a 1/2+1/2 bilayer. Transition between the two (inhomogeneous polarization): Majorana trapped at the interface?

PRB 93, 085115 (Liu et al. - 2016)

► Topologically distinct boundary conditions: interface Laughlin 1/2-1/4 (parity of e/4 quasiholes number at the transition)?

Thank you for your attention!

V. Crépel (ENS Paris)

MPS for Halperin States

Backup 1: Correlation Lengths

